

RESULTS OF THE 2006 COLLEGE ALCOHOL SURVEY* COMPARISON WITH 2003 RESULTS, 1994 RESULTS AND BASELINE YEAR

* The College Alcohol Survey

Copyright 1979, 1982, 1985,
1988, 1991, 1994, 1997
2000, 2003, and 2006

David S. Anderson, Ph.D.
Professor
Director, Center for the Advancement
of Public Health
School of Recreation, Health and Tourism
College of Education and Human Development
George Mason University
Fairfax, VA 22030
TEL: (703) 993-3697
FAX: (703) 993-3763
Email: danderso@gmu.edu

Angelo F. Gadaleta, Ph.D.
Professor and Department Chair
Department of Counseling and
Educational Psychology
West Chester University
West Chester, PA 19383
TEL: (610) 436-2559
FAX: (610) 436-3102
Email: agadaleta@wcupa.edu

Research Procedure:

A representative sample of 330 colleges and universities offering at least the BA or BS degree was selected from each of 50 states and the District of Columbia. Where possible, seven institutions of higher education were selected from each state with a representation of institutions ensured by using variation in institution size and public and private status as variables for selection. The first survey was mailed in 1979 to the vice-presidents of student affairs, who were requested to direct the survey to the most appropriate person or persons on their campuses. Nonrespondents were sent a reminder 4 weeks after the initial mailing. The same procedure with the same population was used for the 1982, 1985, 1988, 1991, 1994, 1997, 2000, 2003, and 2006 surveys.

Survey Response Rates:

(Total N=330)	1979 165 institutions 50%
	1982 236 institutions 71.5%
	1985 202 institutions 61.2%
	1988 213 institutions 64.5%
	1991 211 institutions 63.9%
	1994 211 institutions 63.9%
	1997 245 institutions 74.2%
	2000 194 institutions 58.8%
	2003 217 institutions 65.8%
	2006 196 institutions 59.4%

POLICIES ON YOUR CAMPUS	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
1. Is the drinking of beer permitted on your campus?	(1979) 77	75	76	77	0.06	0.49	0.19
2. Is the drinking of hard liquor permitted on your campus?	(1979) 62	63	64	67	0.81	0.81	0.57
3. Whether by law or policy, please indicate where your campus has an alcohol-free environment: <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. All Residence Halls	(1994) 41	41	29	32	-1.93*	-1.93*	0.91
b. Selected Residence Halls	(1994) 21	21	47	62	8.53*	8.53*	3.94*
b2. Selected Residence Hall Floors	(1997) 31	xx	35	xx	xx	xx	xx
c. Student Union	(2006)	xx	xx	41	xx	xx	xx
d. All Public Areas	(1994) 67	67	62	53	-2.54*	-2.54*	-1.84*
e. Dining Areas	(1994) 76	76	58	51	-4.75*	-4.75*	-1.47
f. Academic Buildings	(1994) 76	76	68	69	-1.52*	-1.52*	0.36
g. Athletic Facilities	(2000) 62	xx	58	57	-0.76	xx	-0.10
h. On-campus Greek Housing	(2003) 24	xx	24	18	-1.30	xx	-1.30
i. Off-campus Greek Housing	(2003) 11	xx	11	13	0.36	xx	0.36
4. Is there a place on your campus where individuals can purchase alcohol by the drink?	(1994) 47	47	46	44	-0.54	-0.54	-0.12
5. Are individuals who serve alcohol by the drink on campus (e.g., at pubs, registered parties) required to receive training as a server?	(1994) 63	63	75	80	3.32*	3.32*	1.12
6. Is an alternative (non-alcoholic) beverage required at public functions at which alcohol is served?	(1979) 54	90	93	92	7.15*	2.07*	-0.45
7. Is it required that food be served at public functions at which alcohol is served?	(1979) 24	82	87	84	9.68*	0.38	-0.96
8. Is it permissible for the advertising of an event to include a statement regarding the availability of alcohol at that event?	(1979) 66	37	39	43	-3.80*	2.07*	0.85
9. Does your campus have policies which allow for mandatory drug testing							
of athletes?	(1991) 51	49	65	67	3.24*	3.35*	0.55
of staff?	(1991) 8	10	20	24	4.38*	3.61*	0.96
of students?	(1991) 5	5	11	12	2.25*	2.57*	0.32
of faculty?	(1991) 6	6	7	11	1.88*	1.85*	1.46
10. In what ways is alcohol monitored at tailgating functions on your campus? <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
Alcohol is clearly prohibited at tailgating functions	(1994) 46	46	38	27	-3.65*	-3.65*	-2.45*
Alcohol consumption is confined to restricted areas	(2006)	xx	xx	40	xx	xx	xx
Efforts are made (e.g., arm bracelets) to prevent underage alcohol consumption	(2006)	xx	xx	24	xx	xx	xx
Other	(2006)	xx	xx	29	xx	xx	xx
11. In which of the following ways are private off-campus establishments that sell alcoholic beverages (e.g. bars, pubs, lounges) permitted to advertise on campus?							
Campus radio	(1982) 62	42	46	39	-3.97*	-0.56	-1.40
Student newspaper	(1982) 95	83	70	68	-6.76*	-2.96*	-0.34
Bulletin boards	(1982) 55	47	33	25	-5.76*	-4.27*	-1.85*
Fliers in dining areas	(1982) 32	20	14	8	-5.61*	-3.00*	-2.10*
If yes for the student newspaper, does it prohibit advertising of any of the following?							
Brand preference ads	(1988) 16	19	21	20	0.90	0.65	-0.25
Happy hours	(1985) 25	22	26	25	0.01	0.65	-0.12
Price specials ads	(1985) 22	23	25	24	0.56	0.33	-0.02

Note: All statistically significant differences ($p < .05$) are noted with an asterisk (*)

	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z-Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
12. Does your campus have a policy that addresses the sponsorship of campus events/promotions by the alcoholic beverage industry?	(1988) 52	50	56	53	0.05	0.53	-0.64
If yes, does this policy prohibit any of the following?							
Alcohol industry advertising (e.g. industry brand preference ads)	(1991) 58	68	76	71	2.01*	0.37	-0.83
Alcohol industry promotions (e.g. free T-shirts, mugs which advertise)	(1991) 60	68	77	72	1.81*	0.57	-1.06
Alcohol industry official sponsorship (e.g. charity events, athletic events)	(1991) 51	60	73	68	2.55*	1.19	-0.86
Alcohol industry signs at major facilities (e.g., scoreboards at coliseum, stadium)	(1997) 68	xx	74	74	1.09*	xx	-0.09
13. Does your campus have a policy that requires students to sign a pledge to be alcohol-free in order to							
Participate in student athletics?	(1997) 9	xx	15	12	1.09*	xx	-0.84
Live in a substance-free living environment?	(1997) 37	xx	41	33	-0.81	xx	-1.75*
Serve as a student peer health educator?	(1997) 4	xx	3	6	0.86	xx	1.51
14. What occurs in your campus judicial process when it is determined that alcohol is involved with a behavioral infraction? <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. Alcohol's involvement is ignored.	(1985) 3	2	3	1	-1.48*	-0.57	-1.59
b. The sanction used is more stringent than if alcohol had been involved.	(1985) 31	30	43	42	2.16*	2.51*	-0.28
c. A monetary fine is imposed.	(2000) 30	xx	37	35	0.98	xx	-0.44
d. The student's parents are notified.	(2003) 58	xx	58	54	-1.10	xx	-1.10
e. The sanction includes participation in an alcohol education group.	(1985) 38	62	77	74	7.45*	3.15*	-0.24
f. The student is required to have an individual motivational interview.	(2003) 47	xx	47	46	-0.26	xx	-0.26
g. The student is required to be involved with a web-based/CD-ROM alcohol education activity.	(2003) 44	xx	44	40	-1.14	xx	-1.14
h. The student has community service involving alcohol prevention efforts.	(2000) 43	xx	38	32	-2.10*	xx	-1.29
i. Repeat offenders are referred for more in-depth assessment.	(2003) 84	xx	84	81	-0.74	xx	-0.74
j. Other	(2003) 24	xx	24	19	-1.60	xx	-1.60
15. Do students face campus judicial charges for alcohol-related behavior that has occurred off-campus?	(2006)	xx	xx	78	xx	xx	xx
16. Does your campus utilize student security workers/aides (other than residence hall staff) to assist with reporting alcohol violations?	(2006)	xx	xx	33	xx	xx	xx
If yes, where do these workers/aides provide assistance? <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
on campus	(2006)	xx	xx	25	xx	xx	xx
local community	(2006)	xx	xx	4	xx	xx	xx
residence halls	(2006)	xx	xx	20	xx	xx	xx
athletic events	(2006)	xx	xx	15	xx	xx	xx
other	(2006)	xx	xx	3	xx	xx	xx
17. Does your campus have methods to measure blood-alcohol concentration (BAC) in its enforcement of alcohol policies?	(2006)	xx	xx	37	xx	xx	xx
18. Does your campus keep records of the BAC level of students who have violations with alcohol?	(2006)	xx	xx	27	xx	xx	xx
19. Does your campus routinely receive reports/collect data on emergency room visits resulting from alcohol consumption and/or injury by students?	(2006)	xx	xx	52	xx	xx	xx

INSTITUTIONAL/ ADMINISTRATIVE DATA	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
20. In the last 2 years, has your campus conducted a survey focusing on:							
a. drinking behavior of students	(1979) 45	44	88	86	8.16*	9.78*	-0.52
b. student knowledge about drinking	(1979) 37	31	75	78	7.62*	9.72*	0.60
c. student attitudes about drinking	(1979) 43	42	85	84	8.00	9.45*	-0.19
d. student perceptions about alcohol use	(2003) 88	xx	88	86	-0.51	xx	-0.51
e. other drug usage behavior of students	(1988) 51	67	78	81	6.29*	3.52*	0.79
f. student knowledge about other drugs	(1988) 36	47	63	64	5.50	3.52*	0.34
g. student attitudes about other drugs	(1988) 42	63	71	75	6.63*	2.59*	0.90
h. student perceptions about other drug use	(2003) 75	xx	75	77	1.02	xx	1.02
i. tobacco use by students	(2000) 63	xx	75	78	3.12*	xx	0.70
j. student knowledge about tobacco use	(2000) 46	xx	55	61	2.93*	xx	1.23
k. student attitudes about tobacco use	(2000) 52	xx	66	69	3.34*	xx	0.66
m. student perceptions about tobacco use	(2003) 67	xx	68	71	1.34	xx	1.34
n. violence on campus	(2000) 46	xx	56	61	2.90*	xx	1.20
o. faculty infusion of drug, alcohol or tobacco issues in their courses	(2003) 12	xx	12	18	2.65*	xx	2.65*
p. faculty knowledge of drug, alcohol or tobacco policies and services	(2003) 14	xx	14	21	2.72*	xx	2.72*
21. In the last several years, has your campus systematically gathered data regarding the use of false ID's to purchase alcohol?	(1988) 18	24	14	16	-0.57	-1.85	0.72
		Mean Scores			Comparison T Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
22a. From either your data or your subjective judgment, please indicate the percentage of time alcohol is involved with each of the following.							
a. damage in residence halls	(1985) 61	67	58	57	-0.678	-2.774*	-0.706
b. damage to other property on campus	(1985) 53	60	53	49	-0.803	-3.017*	-0.093
c. violation of campus policies	(1985) 51	56	58	55	1.530	-0.877	-0.020
d. violent behavior	(1985) 60	65	61	58	-1.300	-2.071*	-0.016
e. physical injury	(1985) 44	50	39	39	-0.759	-2.679*	0.950
f. emotional difficulty	(1985) 34	39	36	36	0.711	-0.770	1.075
g. risk of suicide	(2003) 32	xx	32	32	0.403	xx	0.403
h. missed classes	(2003) 38	xx	38	37	-0.303	xx	-0.303
i. diminished performance on test or project	(2003) 34	xx	34	33	-0.349	xx	-0.349
j. lack of academic success	(1985) 29	37	33	33	1.635	-1.780	0.589
k. student attrition	(1985) 21	29	27	27	2.360	-1.096	0.466
l. acquaintance rape	(1994) 75	75	70	63	-2.799	-2.799	-0.622
m. unsafe sexual practices	(1994) 68	68	63	59	-1.365	-1.365	-0.326
n. health center contacts	(1994) 22	22	24	23	0.362	0.362	-0.644
o. use of marijuana	(1997) 42	xx	36	36	-1.180	xx	0.587
p. use of designer drugs (e.g., ecstasy)	(2003) 27	xx	27	27	1.122	xx	1.122
q. use of other drugs (2000 wording was "Use of drugs other than marijuana")	(1997) 34	xx	29	29	-0.511	xx	1.205

	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
22b. Please indicate whether your institution systematically gathers data for each of the following:							
a. damage in residence halls	(1994) 52	52	54	52	0.01	0.01	-0.41
b. damage to other property on campus	(1994) 46	46	45	46	0.12	0.12	0.21
c. violation of campus policies	(1994) 55	55	69	63	1.26	1.26	-1.11
d. violent behavior	(1994) 48	48	58	57	1.21	1.21	-0.20
e. physical injury	(1994) 37	37	45	42	0.62	0.62	-0.50
f. emotional difficulty	(1994) 21	21	31	30	1.55	1.55	-0.12
g. risk of suicide	(2003) 33	xx	33	38	1.75	xx	1.75
h. missed classes	(2003) 37	xx	37	35	-0.46	xx	-0.46
i. diminished performance on test or project	(2003) 37	xx	37	31	-1.80	xx	-1.80
j. lack of academic success	(1994) 18	18	31	27	1.79*	1.79*	-0.59
k. student attrition	(1994) 19	19	22	25	1.47	1.47	0.76
l. acquaintance rape	(1994) 42	42	51	58	2.75*	2.75*	1.30
m. unsafe sexual practices	(1994) 13	13	30	32	4.07*	4.07*	0.51
n. health center contacts	(1994) 29	29	46	37	1.14	1.14	-1.69
o. use of marijuana	(1997) 27	xx	38	47	3.82*	xx	1.77*
p. use of designer drugs (e.g., ecstasy)	(2003) 35	xx	35	46	2.87*	xx	2.87*
q. use of other drugs (2000 wording was "Use of drugs other than marijuana")	(1997) 26	xx	36	45	3.67*	xx	1.86*
23. Of the various alcohol-related behavioral infractions/offenses on campus, approximately what percent involve repeat offenders?	(2006)	xx	xx	18	xx	xx	xx
24. Does your campus attempt to correlate the level of blood-alcohol concentration (BAC) with alcohol-related offenses and/or injuries?	(2006)	xx	xx	10	xx	xx	xx
25. In the last several years, has your campus conducted a formal assessment of the effectiveness of its drug and alcohol prevention program?	(1994) 36	36	44	44	1.54*	1.54*	0.10
26. In the last several years, which of the following methods has your campus used to measure its efforts?							
Formal research procedures (e.g., stratified samples, randomization)	(2006)	xx	xx	49	xx	xx	xx
Student use/abuse survey	(2006)	xx	xx	82	xx	xx	xx
External evaluator/evaluation team	(2006)	xx	xx	16	xx	xx	xx
Campus environmental scan	(2006)	xx	xx	37	xx	xx	xx
Formal process comparing campus efforts with standardized criteria from an external organization/agency	(2006)	xx	xx	24	xx	xx	xx
27. In the last several years, has your campus conducted a formal assessment of its drug- and alcohol-related policies, procedures and practices as they relate to institutional legal liability?	(1988) 73	53	44	44	-5.31*	-1.79*	0.08

PREVENTION AND EDUCATION	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
28. Does your campus have a student programming/peer education group that deals with alcohol/substance abuse issues?	(1988) 52	77	81	73	4.39*	-0.89	-2.04
29. Is there a location on your campus where printed alcohol and/or other substance abuse education and prevention materials are available to students?	(1979) 66	98	97	98	7.93*	0.04	0.73
30. Does your campus Orientation program for new students deal with the subject of alcohol/other substance abuse?	(1982) 38	90	94	94	11.78*	2.02*	0.34
31. Does your campus Orientation program for parents deal with the subject of alcohol/other substance abuse?	(1997) 63	xx	84	79	3.43*	xx	-1.47
32. Does your campus have a focus period of time (e.g., Awareness Week-Day) for:							
a. Alcohol education and prevention efforts?	(1979) 13	90	86	82	13.01*	-2.78*	-0.98
b. Education and prevention efforts specifically designated for drugs other than alcohol?	(1988) 40	53	54	42	0.46	-2.27*	-2.33*
c. Tobacco education and prevention efforts?	(2003) 69	xx	68	62	-1.95	xx	-1.95
d. Violence education and prevention efforts?	(2000) 62	xx	62	66	0.81	xx	0.96
33. Approximately how much funding from all sources is provided to your campus wellness prevention/education effort during the current academic year (excluding personnel costs)?							
For campuses with any reported funding:	1997 Results = \$26,603		2000 Results=\$24,837		2003 Results=\$46,103		2006 Results= \$52,422
For all campuses responding to survey:	1997 Results = \$18,677		2000 Results=\$16,003		2003 Results=\$36,052		2006 Results= \$51,353
Of this amount, what percentage is exclusively for alcohol/substance abuse efforts?	1997 Results = 49.54%		2000 Results=49.7%		2003 Results=57.45%		2006 Results= 56.91%
	Mean Scores				Comparison T Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
34. Please indicate the extent to which each of the following is included in your campus' alcohol and/or other substance abuse education and prevention efforts. (Circle one number for each item) (1=None; 2=A Little; 3=Some; 4=A Lot)							
a. Information/articles in campus publications (e.g. newspapers)	(1991) 2.76	2.71	2.84	2.72	-0.966	0.255	-1.293
b. Peer Theater	(2003) 1.81	xx	1.81	1.69	-1.236	xx	-1.236
c. Discussion groups	(1991) 2.83	2.64	2.51	2.39	-4.914*	-2.456*	-1.316
d. Residence Hall programs/meetings	(2006)	xx	xx	3.15	xx	xx	xx
e. Workshops focusing on alcohol or other drug attitudes	(1991) 2.97	3.04	2.91	2.72	-2.731*	-3.280	-1.875
f. Campaigns on positive social norms	(2000) 2.86	xx	3.01	2.70	-1.291	xx	-3.152*
g. Speakers	(1991) 2.85	2.77	2.58	2.40	-5.142*	-4.835*	-2.459*
h. Poster/slogan campaigns	(1991) 2.80	2.74	2.87	2.74	-0.375	0.136	-1.435
i. Safe rides program	(2003) 2.16	xx	2.16	2.07	-0.658	xx	-0.658
j. Designated Driver	(1994) 2.11	2.11	2.23	2.15	0.487	0.487	-0.378
k. Educational handouts prepared by campus groups	(1994) 2.76	2.76	2.87	2.73	-0.165	-0.165	-1.568
l. Technological approaches (web-based or CD-ROM)	(2003) 2.53	xx	2.53	2.52	-0.077	xx	-0.077
m. Services for recovering students	(2003) 2.64	xx	2.64	2.42	-1.991*	xx	-1.991*
n. Individual motivational interviews	(2003) 2.50	xx	2.50	2.58	0.847	xx	0.847
o. Substance abuse problem identification and referral	(2003) 3.22	xx	3.22	3.09	-0.902	xx	-0.902

	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
35. Does your campus provide curricular content support for faculty on drug or alcohol issues?	(2003) 44	xx	44	21	-6.96*	xx	-6.96*
	Mean Scores				Comparison T Scores		
36. On your campus, how many courses deal primarily with the subject of alcohol and/or substance abuse?							
Number of Undergraduate Courses	(1997) 2.94	xx	2.73	2.65	-0.581	xx	-0.169
Number of Graduate Courses	(1997) 1.89	xx	1.96	2.08	0.433	xx	0.967
	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
37. Does your campus participate in a coordinated statewide alcohol/substance abuse education effort for colleges and universities in your state? (Wording in 1997 and prior was "Is there a coordinated statewide alcohol/substance abuse education effort for universities in your state?")	(1982) 23	79	67	66	8.94*	-3.18*	-0.26
	Mean Scores				Comparison T Scores		
38. Indicate the extent to which each of the following provides leadership with your campus alcohol education and prevention efforts. (Circle one number for each item) (1=None; 2=A Little; 3=Some; 4=A Lot)							
a. Faculty Members (Labeled "Academic Departments" through 2000)	(1991) 1.79	1.88	2.08	2.05	2.835*	1.897	-0.408
b. Campus Police	(1991) 2.61	2.78	3.01	3.04	4.690*	2.219*	0.435
c. Campus President	(1994) 2.15	2.15	2.41	2.40	2.330*	2.330*	-0.054
d. Board of Trustees	(2000) 1.98	xx	1.75	1.79	-1.861	xx	0.634
e. Alcohol/Substance Abuse Coordinator	(1991) 3.34	3.44	3.49	3.56	2.799*	0.804	1.168
f. Residence Life Office	(1994) 3.41	3.41	3.41	3.33	-0.441	-0.441	-1.069
g. Judicial Services Office	(2000) 3.21	xx	3.30	3.36	0.974	xx	1.491
h. Counseling Center	(1991) 3.79	3.29	3.38	3.38	-0.368	-1.605	0.147
i. Health Center	(1991) 3.13	3.15	3.36	3.30	1.749	1.568	-0.676
j. Wellness Center	(2000) 2.97	xx	3.21	3.09	0.551	xx	-0.886
k. Student Activities	(1991) 2.72	2.86	2.86	2.82	1.612	-0.538	-0.174
l. Dean of Students Office	(1991) 3.35	3.19	3.24	3.25	-0.996	0.361	0.00
m. Student Government Associations	(1991) 2.10	1.95	2.17	2.22	1.051	3.326*	0.978
n. Designated Student Organizations (e.g., BACCHUS, GAMMA, SADD)	(1994) 2.67	2.67	2.53	2.47	-1.341	-1.341	-0.924
o. Greek Affairs (Labeled "Fraternities and Sororities" through 2000)	(1991) 2.55	2.54	2.56	2.63	0.592	0.906	0.512
p. Campus Athletics	(2003) 2.50	xx	2.50	2.69	2.199*	xx	2.199*

	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
39. Does your campus have a task force to address drug and alcohol related concerns?	(2000) 65	xx	70	69	0.80	xx	-0.20
40. Does your campus have a task force or partnership with the surrounding community to address drug- and alcohol-related concerns?	(1994) 59	59	55	59	0.03	0.03	0.90
41. Does your campus have an individual designated to serve as its Alcohol/Substance Abuse Education Coordinator or Specialist?	(1979) 14	74	74	74	11.06*	0.04	0.15
If "yes" to #41, what is the total FTE (Full-Time Equivalent) in each of the following categories for this position? _____ Full-time professional _____ Graduate Student (e.g., 1 graduate student @ 20 hours/week = .50 FTE)	(1979) 0.89 FTE (1979) 0.39 FTE	1.05 FTE 0.94 FTE	1.09 FTE 1.58 FTE	1.07 FTE 0.58 FTE			
42. If "yes" to #41, what percentage of the coordinator/specialist's time is spent on: Alcohol Issues = Other Drug Issues = Tobacco Issues = Wellness Issues =	62.40 31.89	61.09 37.20	56.2 15.1 7.9 16.5	59.7 16.3 10.1 23.5			

	Mean Scores				Comparison T Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
43. If yes to Question 41, please rate the importance of each of the following functions being performed by the coordinator of your campus. (1=Not at all important; 5=Very important)							
a. peer leader training	(1991) 4.00	4.24	3.71	3.78	-1.310	-2.401	0.320
b. direct prevention programming	(1991) 4.46	4.41	4.29	4.33	-1.000	-0.390	0.519
c. policy development	(1991) 3.39	3.50	3.68	3.73	1.479	2.956	0.811
d. educational programming for judicially sanctioned students	(1991) 3.82	3.99	3.98	4.06	0.891	0.824	0.231
e. administration of resource center	(1991) 3.67	3.67	3.38	3.16	-3.119*	-3.119*	-0.108
f. direct counseling	(1991) 3.56	3.67	3.37	3.39	-2.195*	-1.515	0.827
g. initial assessment/ counseling referral	(1991) 3.87	3.93	3.62	3.63	-2.570	-1.406	0.956
h. coordination of support groups (e.g. AA, COA) Prior wording was "Involvement with support groups"	(1991) 3.48	3.30	2.60	2.40	-7.952*	-4.913*	-0.976
i. responding to media inquiries	(2003) 2.90	xx	2.92	2.96	0.219	xx	0.219
j. faculty training	(1991) 2.71	2.59	2.46	2.64	-1.649	-0.522	-1.859
k. staff training	(1991) 3.23	3.16	3.30	3.37	0.234	2.244*	1.688
l. coordination of campus activity	(1991) 4.29	4.03	3.68	3.74	-3.828*	-1.263	0.256
m. guest lectures in academic classes	(1991) 3.07	3.33	3.15	3.21	0.952	-0.852	0.367
n. informational e-mails to faculty	(1997) 2.07	xx	2.35	2.48	2.301*	xx	.167
o. community liaison	(1991) 3.48	3.36	3.57	3.44	-0.173	1.447	-0.717
p. development of web page	(1997) 2.40	xx	2.90	2.95	2.953*	xx	0.154
q. research/evaluation	(1994) 3.01	3.01	3.55	3.49	3.216*	3.216*	-0.152
r. grant writing	(1994) 2.94	2.94	2.97	2.93	-0.415	-0.415	-0.811
	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
44. In the last three years, has your campus made an attempt to acquire external funding (e.g. federal, state, local, or private grants) for its:							
a. Alcohol/substance abuse efforts	(1988) 64	85	78	77	2.97*	-2.30*	-0.18
b. Violence prevention efforts	(1997) 31	xx	47	47	3.30*	xx	0.10
c. Tobacco reduction efforts	(2000) 16	xx	42	43	5.68*	xx	0.10
d. Overall wellness efforts	(1997) 36	xx	37	40	0.84	xx	0.58
45. In the last three years, has your campus been successful in acquiring external funding (e.g. federal, state, local, private grants) for its:							
a. Alcohol/substance abuse efforts?(mean funding for 2006 = \$93,896)(median = \$25,000)	(1991) 58	72	71	68	2.01*	-1.00	-0.57
b. Violence prevention efforts (mean funding for 2006 = \$172,397) (median = \$112,500)	(1997) 20	xx	31	30	2.24*	xx	-0.33*
c. Tobacco reduction efforts (mean funding for 2006 = \$33,963) (median = \$10,000)	(2000) 14	xx	38	36	4.58*	xx	-0.42
d. Overall wellness efforts (mean funding for 2006 \$30,181) (median = \$10,000)	(1997) 19	xx	17	23	1.00	xx	1.39

	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
48. Does your campus utilize peers whose primary focus is in the area of alcohol/other drug abuse? If yes, in what roles do these peers serve? <i>(check all that apply)</i>	(1988) 31	66	65	59	5.60*	-1.51	-1.24
a. Conduct educational workshops (Wording was "Direct prevention programming" through 2000)	(1994) 93	93	93	84	-1.68*	-1.68*	-7.12*
b. Health awareness promotion	(2003) 55	xx	55	87	9.79*	xx	9.79*
c. Guest lectures in academic classes	(1994) 56	56	26	40	-2.98*	-2.98*	3.19*
d. Plan/host alcohol-free events	(2003) 41	xx	41	71	8.72*	xx	8.72*
e. Policy review/task force member (Wording was "Policy review" through 2000)	(1997) 22	xx	25	40	3.08*	xx	3.41*
f. Initial intervention/referral to counseling	(2003) 13	xx	13	18	2.13*	xx	2.13*
g. Other	(2003) 6	xx	6	18	5.59*	xx	5.59*

TREATMENT AND REFERRAL SERVICES	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Mean Scores	Comparison Z-Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
49. To what degree can a student with a drinking problem receive counseling assistance at each of the following? (1=None; 4=A Lot) (Wording was "Where can a student....assistance" through 2000)								
a. Counseling Center	(1979) 97	99	97	99	3.63	1.54	0.03	2.03
b. Health Center	(1982) 99	99	96	93	3.05	-3.37*	xx	-2.46*
c. Alcohol/Substance Abuse Education Coordinator	(1982) 100	99	73	85	3.20	-3.05	-3.59	3.51
d. Residence Hall Staff	(1982) 100	99	91	88	2.63	-4.38*	-5.69*	-1.13
e. Campus Ministry	(1979) 77	99	87	87	2.62	2.47*	-4.53*	0.05
f. Off-campus Counseling/Treatment Agency	(1982) 99	99	92	99	3.35	0.16	xx	4.89*

	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z-Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
50. Does any agency on your campus offer a group counseling experience specifically for students who are problem drinkers?	(1979) 33	59	54	52	3.59*	-1.51	-0.59
51. Does any agency on your campus offer a support group specifically for those students whose lives are being negatively affected by an alcoholic?	(1979) 21	68	44	42	4.17*	-5.54*	-0.53
52. Does any agency on your campus offer a support group specifically for those students who wish to stop smoking?	(2000) 33	xx	46	43	2.06*	xx	-0.68
53. Does your campus have an Employee Assistance Program for employees with a drinking problem?	(1982) 28	76	83	80	10.63*	1.28	-0.74
54. How is a faculty member who is believed to have a drinking problem typically dealt with on your campus?							
a. No action taken	(1994) 23	23	32	25	0.48	0.48	-1.49
b. Forced referral (e.g., treatment, EAP)	(1994) 37	37	54	49	2.28*	2.28*	-1.11
c. Personnel action (e.g., probation, suspension, termination)	(1994) 18	18	57	48	6.26*	6.26*	-1.83*
55. Does the paraprofessional staff on your campus receive training to deal with students having a drinking problem?	(1979) 62	72	76	71	1.74*	-0.16	-1.06
56. Does the paraprofessional staff on your campus receive training in mediation skills?	(2000) 71	xx	67	71	0.07	xx	0.90
57. Are any of the paraprofessional staff on your campus (e.g., Resident Advisors, Orientation Leaders, Peer Educators) required to take skill development (training) course for academic credit (e.g., "Essentials of the Helping Relationship")?	(1997) 34	xx	28	35	0.33	xx	1.58

RELATED CAMPUS ISSUES	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
58. Whether by law or policy, please indicate where your campus has a smoke-free environment: <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. All Residence Halls	(1994) 27	27	63	85	12.11*	12.11*	5.45*
b. Selected Residence Halls	(1994) 33	33	26	15	-4.04*	-4.04*	-2.68*
c. Selected Residence Hall Floors	(1997) 38	xx	23	14	-5.67*	xx	-2.58*
d. All Public Areas	(1994) 67	67	67	59	-1.78*	-1.78*	-1.38*
e. Dining Areas	(1994) 76	76	90	94	5.55*	5.55*	2.03*
f. Academic Buildings	(1994) 77	77	89	93	4.77*	4.77*	1.84
g. Indoor athletic facilities	(2003) 94	xx	94	96	2.06	xx	2.06
h. Outdoor athletic facilities	(2003) 35	xx	35	45	3.02*	xx	3.02*
i. Outdoor areas at building entrances	(2000) 17	xx	23	39	4.85*	xx	3.71*
59. Where on campus are tobacco products sold? <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. Residence Halls	(1994) 7	7	1	2	-2.85*	-2.85*	0.14
b. Student Union	(1994) 20	21	16	10	-2.61*	-2.61*	-1.94*
c. Bookstore	(1994) 18	18	12	10	-2.20*	-2.20*	-0.60
d. Nowhere	(1994) 52	52	60	64	2.63*	2.63*	1.00
e. Vendors adjacent to campus (e.g., coffee trucks) (Wording was "Campus street vendors" in 2000)	(2000) 3	xx	14	14	3.77*	xx	0.002
f. Other	(2003) 8	xx	8	4	-2.31*	xx	-2.31*
60. In which of the following ways are tobacco products permitted to be advertised on your campus? <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. Campus newspapers	(1997) 40	xx	33	29	-2.51*	xx	-1.00
b. Campus radio	(1997) 21	xx	18	16	-1.37	xx	-0.71
c. Bulletin boards	(1997) 19	xx	12	9	-3.13	xx	-1.26
d. Public arenas (e.g., sports facility, stadium, coliseum)	(1997) 14	xx	8	6	-2.87*	xx	-0.91
e. Promotion items (e.g., T-shirts, mugs)	(1997) 18	xx	11	8	-3.00*	xx	-0.86
f. Event sponsorship (e.g., charity, athletics, arts)	(1997) 14	xx	10	9	-1.72*	xx	-0.35
61. In which locations are condoms accessible (for purchase or free) on your campus? <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. Residence Halls	(1994) 47	47	39	35	-2.52*	-2.52*	-0.92
b. Bookstore	(1994) 29	29	25	22	-1.35*	-1.35*	-0.81
c. Wellness Center	(2000) 25	xx	40	37	2.43*	xx	-0.68
d. Other	(2003) 17	xx	16	20	1.48	xx	1.48
e. Health Center	(1994) 80	80	84	81	0.32	0.32	-1.05
f. Student Union	(1994) 25	25	23	22	-0.82	-0.82	-0.25
g. Nowhere	(1994) 10	10	10	13	0.74	0.74	0.88
62. Are condoms readily available free somewhere on your campus?	(1997) 68	xx	78	78	2.38*	xx	0.14

YOUR OPINION

YOUR OPINION							
63. What minimum drinking age do you prefer?	18	19	21 or higher				
Mean Percentage (1997 Results)	27%	6%	64%				
Mean Percentage (2000 Results)	28%	8%	61%				
Mean Percentage (2003 Results)	27%	7%	64%				
Mean Percentage (2006 Results)	23%	8%	68%				
	Percentage of Affirmative Responses		Mean Scores		Comparison T- Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2003	2006	Base Year and 2006	1994-2006	2003-2006
64. Please rate the accuracy of each of the following descriptions relative to your campus: (Scale: 1= <i>strongly disagree</i> ; 5= <i>strongly agree</i>)							
a. Most student alcohol abuse occurs in off-campus uncontrolled settings (i.e, private parties).	(2000) 3.57	xx	3.70	3.64	0.200	xx	-0.887
b. Our campus alcohol abuse problems are minimal.	(2000) 2.44	xx	2.25	2.26	-1.236	xx	0.070
c. Adequate funding is being spent on our campus on alcohol abuse prevention.	(2000) 2.32	xx	2.31	2.34	0.267	xx	0.319
d. Our campus has a comprehensive approach to alcohol abuse prevention.	(2000) 3.13	xx	3.21	3.25	1.129	xx	0.781
e. Our campus has clearly defined goals and objectives for alcohol abuse prevention.	(2000) 3.28	xx	3.25	3.34	0.702	xx	0.822
f. Our campus has consensus between students and administrators on the direction of our alcohol abuse and prevention efforts.	(2000) 2.68	xx	2.69	2.81	1.367	xx	0.919
g. Our campus has formally identified the principles/philosophical underpinnings of its alcohol abuse prevention efforts.	(2003) 3.26	xx	3.26	3.29	0.369	xx	0.369
h. Our campus utilizes the most effective alcohol abuse prevention strategies (based on professional literature, conference workshops, training, etc.).	(2003) 3.57	xx	3.57	3.56	-0.049	xx	-0.049
i. Our campus remains committed to finding and applying effective alcohol abuse prevention strategies.	(2003) 4.07	xx	4.07	4.10	3.182*	xx	3.182*
j. Our alcohol abuse prevention efforts have been institutionalized.	(2003) 3.20	xx	3.20	3.26	5.110*	xx	5.110*
65. To what extent do the following prevention approaches guide your campus efforts to reduce alcohol abuse? (Scale: 1 = <i>not at all</i> ; 5= <i>very much</i>)							
a. Harm Reduction	(2000) 4.25	xx	4.21	4.35	0.436	xx	1.365
b. Abstinence	(2000) 2.30	xx	2.18	2.42	1.278	xx	1.962
c. Problem Reduction	(2000) 3.87	xx	4.05	4.07	2.064*	xx	0.355
d. Establishing Healthy Norms	(2000) 4.20	xx	4.20	4.19	-0.165	xx	-0.165
e. Enforcement of Campus Policy	(2006)	xx	xx	4.24	xx	xx	xx
f. Social Norms Marketing	(2003) 3.87	xx	3.87	3.37	-4.065*	xx	-4.065*
g. Healthy Life Choices	(2000) 4.24	xx	4.23	4.13	-1.610	xx	-1.391
h. Responsible Drinking	(2000) 3.87	xx	3.88	3.94	0.555	xx	0.717
i. Responsible Decision-Making	(2000) 4.30	xx	4.26	4.35	0.518	xx	0.896
j. Lifelong Skills	(2000) 3.79	xx	3.63	3.77	-0.049	xx	1.164
k. Values Development	(2000) 3.51	xx	3.27	3.42	-0.515	xx	-1.176
l. Enforcement of State/Local Laws	(2006)	xx	xx	4.08	xx	xx	xx
m. Alcohol-Free Activities	(2003) 3.84	xx	3.84	3.89	0.460	xx	0.460
n. Other	(2003) 4.50	xx	4.50	4.11	-1.043	xx	-1.043

YOUR OPINION						
	Effectiveness Rating			Adequacy of Resources		
66. Please describe the <i>effectiveness</i> of the following efforts on your campus to reduce the extent of alcohol abuse. (1 = Not effective to 5 = Very effective) Please also assess the <i>adequacy of resources</i> (funding and/or personnel) currently available for each of these efforts. (1 = Not at all adequate to 4 = Very adequate)	1-5 Scale		Comparison T- Scores	1-4 Scale		Comparison T-Scores
	2003	2006	2003-2006	2003	2006	2003-2006
Alcohol education and prevention programs	3.31	3.34	0.390	2.60	2.63	0.427
Alcohol-free social events	3.28	3.18	-0.917	2.61	2.74	1.210
Technological approaches (Web-based or CD-ROMs)	2.31	2.43	0.712	2.58	2.65	0.721
Social norms marketing	2.81	2.43	-2.510*	2.71	2.42	-2.631*
Use of peer educators	2.74	2.65	-0.530	2.59	2.57	0.119
Infusion of prevention education into course curriculum	1.72	1.60	-0.678	2.00	1.91	-0.514
Campus policies and procedures	3.59	3.57	-0.244	2.98	3.10	1.413
State and federal laws	3.28	3.31	0.310	2.87	2.91	0.463
Sanctions for alcohol infractions	3.61	3.61	0.076	3.00	3.08	0.920
Campus staff enforcement of policies	3.59	3.55	-0.509	2.78	2.98	1.999*
Campus police/security enforcement	3.61	3.63	0.180	2.84	3.02	1.928
Local police enforcement	3.18	3.16	-0.066	2.57	2.82	2.311*
Individualized motivational interviewing	2.79	2.76	-0.105	2.62	2.49	-1.108
Accessibility of on-campus treatment	2.79	2.70	-0.526	2.50	2.43	-0.665
In-depth assessment of repeat offenders	3.17	2.98	-1.144	2.61	2.48	-1.294
Treatment and referral resources	3.60	3.52	-0.725	2.71	2.52	-1.981*
Campus task force	2.46	2.41	-0.236	2.53	2.47	-0.516
Joint campus/community efforts	2.36	2.31	-0.211	2.44	2.37	-0.609
Collaboration between student services and faculty	2.07	2.22	1.150	2.14	2.13	-0.055
Faculty training	1.30	1.16	-0.872	1.70	1.80	0.744
Staff training	2.64	2.44	-1.383	2.29	2.35	0.530
Efforts targeted for Greeks	2.43	2.46	0.159	2.33	2.53	1.987*
Efforts targeted for first-year students	3.43	3.39	-0.422	2.65	2.76	0.977
Efforts targeted for athletes	2.97	2.98	0.106	2.53	2.65	1.218