

RESULTS OF THE 2003 COLLEGE ALCOHOL SURVEY* COMPARISON WITH 2000 RESULTS, 1994 RESULTS AND BASELINE YEAR

* The College Alcohol Survey

Copyright 1979, 1982, 1985,
1988, 1991, 1994, 1997
2000 and 2003

David S. Anderson, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Center for the Advancement of Public Health
School of Recreation, Health and Tourism
College of Education and Human Development
George Mason University
Fairfax, VA 22030
TEL: (703) 993-3697
FAX: (703) 993-3763
Email: danderso@gmu.edu

Angelo F. Gadaleta, Ph.D.
Professor and Department Chair
Department of Counseling and Educational Psychology
West Chester University
West Chester, PA 19383
TEL: (610) 436-2559
FAX: (610) 436-3102
Email: agadaleta@wcupa.edu

Research Procedure:

A representative sample of 330 colleges and universities offering at least the BA or BS degree was selected from each of 50 states and the District of Columbia. Where possible, seven institutions of higher education were selected from each state with a representation of institutions ensured by using variation in institution size and public and private status as variables for selection. The first survey was mailed in 1979 to the vice-presidents of student affairs, who were requested to direct the survey to the most appropriate person or persons on their campuses. Nonrespondents were sent a reminder 4 weeks after the initial mailing. The same procedure with the same population was used for the 1982, 1985, 1988, 1991, 1994, 1997, 2000 and 2003 surveys.

Survey Response Rates:

(Total N=330)	1979	165 institutions	50%
	1982	236 institutions	71.5%
	1985	202 institutions	61.2%
	1988	213 institutions	64.5%
	1991	211 institutions	63.9%
	1994	211 institutions	63.9%
	1997	245 institutions	74.2%
	2000	194 institutions	58.8%
	2003	217 institutions	65.8%

POLICIES ON YOUR CAMPUS	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
1. Is the drinking of beer permitted on your campus?	(1979) 77	75	70	76	-0.16	0.39	1.46
2. Is the drinking of hard liquor permitted on your campus?	(1979) 62	63	61	64	0.36	0.30	0.62
3. Whether by law or policy, please indicate where your campus has an alcohol-free environment: <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. All Residence Halls	(1994) 41	41	29	29	-2.57*	-2.57*	0.15
b. Selected Residence Halls	(1994) 21	21	44	47	5.39*	5.39*	0.61
c. Selected Residence Hall Floors	(1997) 31	xx	33	35	0.73	xx	0.30
d. All Public Areas	(1994) 67	67	65	62	-1.03	-1.03	-0.68
e. Dining Areas	(1994) 76	76	61	58	-9.10*	-9.10*	-0.65
f. Academic Buildings	(1994) 76	76	67	68	-1.68*	-1.68*	0.19
g. Athletic Facilities	(2000) 62	xx	62	58	-0.75	xx	-0.75
h. On-campus Greek housing	(2003) 24	xx	xx	24	xx	xx	xx
i. Off-campus Greek housing	(2003) 11	xx	xx	11	xx	xx	xx
4. Is there a place on your campus where individuals can purchase alcohol by the drink?	(1994) 47	47	50	46	-0.34	-0.34	-0.75
5. Are individuals who serve alcohol by the drink on campus (e.g., at pubs, registered parties) required to receive training as a server?	(1994) 63	63	76	75	2.32*	2.32*	-0.31
6. Is an alternative (non-alcoholic) beverage required at public functions at which alcohol is served?	(1979) 54	90	93	93	7.90*	3.00*	0.11
7. Is it required that food be served at public functions at which alcohol is served?	(1979) 24	82	87	87	10.90*	1.61*	0.11
8. Is it permissible for the advertising of an event to include a statement regarding the availability of alcohol at that event?	(1979) 66	37	36	39	-4.69*	0.30	0.46
9. Does your campus have policies which allow for mandatory drug testing							
of athletes?	(1991) 51	49	61	65	2.79*	2.89*	0.77
of staff?	(1991) 8	10	18	20	3.58*	2.61*	0.48
of students?	(1991) 5	5	10	11	2.24*	2.24*	0.16
of faculty?	(1991) 6	6	11	7	0.27	0.27	-1.36
10. Is the use of alcohol clearly prohibited at tailgating functions at your campus?	(1994) 46	46	45	38	-1.47	-1.47	-1.24
11. In which of the following ways are private off-campus establishments that sell alcoholic beverages (e.g. bars, pubs, lounges) permitted to advertise on campus?							
Campus radio	(1982) 62	42	42	46	-2.79*	0.74	0.89
Student newspaper	(1982) 95	83	73	70	-6.61*	-2.70*	-0.77
Bulletin boards	(1982) 55	47	39	33	-4.18*	-2.61*	-1.11
Fliers in dining areas	(1982) 32	20	13	14	-3.91*	-1.30	0.27
If yes for the student newspaper, does it prohibit advertising of any of the following?							
Brand preference ads	(1988) 16	19	29	21	1.28	0.48	-1.45
Happy hours	(1985) 25	22	30	26	0.20	0.83	-0.79
Price specials ads	(1985) 22	23	29	25	0.68	0.42	-0.84

Note: All statistically significant differences ($p < .05$) are noted with an asterisk (*)

	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
12. Does your campus have a policy that addresses the sponsorship of campus events/promotions by the alcoholic beverage industry?	(1988) 52	50	51	55	0.59	1.04	0.75
If yes, does this policy prohibit any of the following?							
Alcohol industry advertising (e.g. industry brand preference ads)	(1991) 58	68	72	75	2.75*	0.98	0.59
Alcohol industry promotions (e.g. free T-shirts, mugs which advertise)	(1991) 60	68	72	77	2.76*	1.38	0.86
Alcohol industry official sponsorship (e.g. charity events, athletic events)	(1991) 51	60	69	73	3.31*	1.84*	0.55
Alcohol industry signs at major facilities (e.g., scoreboards at coliseum, stadium)	(1997) 68	xx	67	74	1.13	xx	1.25
13. Does your campus have a policy which requires students to sign a pledge to be alcohol-free in order to....							
Participate in student athletics?	(1997) 9	xx	11	15	1.97*	xx	1.09
Live in a substance-free living environment?	(1997) 37	xx	40	41	0.85	xx	0.28
Be hired as a paid paraprofessional?	(1997) 4	xx	3	3	-0.62	xx	-0.18
Be a student leader?	(1997) 3	xx	3	3	0.08	xx	0.14

INSTITUTIONAL/ ADMINISTRATIVE DATA	Percentage				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
14. In the last 2 years, has your campus conducted a survey focusing on:							
a. drinking behavior of students	(1979) 45	70	77	88	8.97*	4.92*	2.92*
b. student knowledge about drinking	(1979) 37	54	66	76	7.40*	4.64*	2.15*
c. student attitudes about drinking	(1979) 43	67	74	85	8.55*	4.56*	2.86*
d. student perceptions about alcohol use	(2003) 88	xx	xx	88	xx	xx	xx
e. other drug usage behavior of students	(1988) 51	67	70	79	5.84*	2.84*	1.86*
f. student knowledge about other drugs	(1988) 36	47	55	63	5.38*	3.21*	1.56
g. student attitudes about other drugs	(1988) 42	63	63	71	6.08*	1.76*	1.74*
h. student perceptions about other drug use	(2003) 75	xx	xx	75	xx	xx	xx
i. tobacco use by students	(2000) 63	xx	63	75	2.52*	xx	2.52*
j. student knowledge about tobacco use	(2000) 46	xx	46	55	1.85*	xx	1.85*
k. student attitudes about tobacco use	(2000) 52	xx	52	66	2.75*	xx	2.75*
m. student perceptions about tobacco use	(2003) 67	xx	xx	68	xx	xx	xx
n. violence on campus	(2000) 46	xx	46	56	1.85*	xx	1.85*
o. faculty infusion of drug, alcohol or tobacco issues in their courses	(2003) 12	xx	xx	12	xx	xx	xx
p. faculty knowledge of drug, alcohol or tobacco policies and services	(2003) 14	xx	xx	14	xx	xx	xx
15a. From either your data or your subjective judgment, please indicate the percentage of time alcohol is involved with each of the following.							
a. damage in residence halls	(1985) 61	67	60	58	-0.732	-1.890*	-0.522
b. damage to other property on campus	(1985) 53	60	55	53	0.119	-1.612*	-1.281
c. violation of campus policies	(1985) 51	56	54	58	1.930*	1.423	1.384
d. violent behavior	(1985) 60	65	60	61	0.255	-0.079	0.336
e. physical injury	(1985) 44	50	40	39	-1.204	-2.547*	-0.397
f. emotional difficulty	(1985) 34	39	36	36	0.848	-0.669	0.077
g. risk of suicide	(2003) 32	xx	xx	32	xx	xx	xx
h. missed classes	(2003) 38	xx	xx	38	xx	xx	xx
i. diminished performance on test or project	(2003) 34	xx	xx	34	xx	xx	xx
j. lack of academic success	(1985) 29	37	36	33	2.305*	-0.801	-1.038
k. student attrition	(1985) 21	29	30	27	2.288*	-0.609	-0.992
l. acquaintance rape	(1994) 75	75	70	70	-1.572*	-0.253	0.053
m. unsafe sexual practice	(1994) 68	68	64	63	-1.601*	-0.219	-0.381
n. health center contacts	(1994) 22	22	23	24	1.104	0.833	0.519
o. use of marijuana	(1997) 42	xx	37	36	-2.045*	xx	-1.153
p. use of designer drugs (e.g., ecstasy)	(2003) 27	xx	xx	27	xx	xx	xx
q. use of other drugs (2000 wording was "Use of drugs other than marijuana")	(1997) 34	xx	31	29	-1.637*	xx	-1.171

15b. Please indicate whether your institution systematically gathers data for each of the following:	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
a. damage in residence halls	(1994) 50	50	44	54	2.37*	2.37*	1.90*
b. damage to other property on campus	(1994) 44	44	39	46	2.66*	2.66*	1.36
c. violation of campus policies	(1994) 55	55	59	69	2.52*	2.52*	1.96*
d. violent behavior	(1994) 48	48	52	58	1.33	1.33	1.17
e. physical injury	(1994) 37	37	37	45	1.05	1.05	0.02
f. emotional difficulty	(1994) 21	21	31	31	1.53	1.53	0.02
g. risk of suicide	(2003) 33	xx	xx	33	xx	xx	xx
h. missed classes	(2003) 37	xx	xx	37	xx	xx	xx
i. diminished performance on test or project	(2003) 37	xx	xx	37	xx	xx	xx
j. lack of academic success	(1994) 18	18	24	31	1.28	1.28	1.37
k. student attrition	(1994) 19	19	25	22	1.44	1.44	-0.36
l. acquaintance rape	(1994) 42	42	47	51	4.27*	4.27*	0.65
m. unsafe sexual practices	(1994) 13	13	23	30	6.71*	6.71*	1.30
n. health center contacts	(1994) 29	29	34	46	4.64*	4.64*	2.15*
o. use of marijuana	(1997) 27	xx	33	38	2.20*	xx	1.0
p. use of designer drugs (e.g., ecstasy)	(2003) 35	xx	x	35	xx	xx	xx
q. use of other drugs (2000 wording was "Use of drugs other than marijuana")	(1997) 26	xx	41	36	1.96*	xx	-0.89
16. In the last several years, has your campus conducted a formal assessment of the effectiveness of its drug and alcohol prevention program?	(1994) 36	36	35	44	1.66*	1.66*	1.82*
17. In the last several years, has your campus conducted a formal assessment of its drug- and alcohol-related policies, procedures and practices as they relate to institutional legal liability?	(1988) 73	53	48	44	-5.57*	-1.81*	-0.75
18. In the last several years, has your campus systematically gathered data regarding the use of false ID's to purchase alcohol?	(1988) 18	24	28	14	-1.30	-2.45*	-3.32*

	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
26. Does your campus provide curricular content support for faculty on drug or alcohol issues?	(2003) 44	xx	xx	44	xx	xx	xx
27. On your campus, how many courses deal primarily with the subject of alcohol and/or substance abuse? Number of Undergraduate Courses	(1997) 2.94	xx	2.74	2.73	xx	xx	xx
Number of Graduate Courses	1.89	xx	1.75	1.96	xx	xx	xx
28. Does your campus participate in a coordinated statewide alcohol/substance abuse education effort for colleges and universities in your state? (Wording in 1997 and prior was "Is there a coordinated statewide alcohol/substance abuse education effort for universities in your state?")	(2000) 58 (1982) 23	79	58	67	1.70*	2.96*	1.70*
29. Indicate the extent to which each of the following provides leadership with your campus alcohol education and prevention efforts. (Circle one number for each item) (1=None; 2=A Little; 3=Some; 4=A Lot)	Mean Scores				Comparison T Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
e. Alcohol/Substance Abuse Coordinator	(1991) 3.34	3.44	3.70	3.49	1.316	0.886	-2.283*
f. Residence Life Office	(1994) 3.41	3.41	3.47	3.41	0.437	0.437	-0.867
h. Counseling Center	(1991) 3.79	3.29	3.30	3.38	-0.210	1.257	0.959
i. Health Center	(1991) 3.13	3.15	3.33	3.36	2.687*	2.371*	0.351
g. Judicial Services Office	(2000) 3.21	xx	3.21	3.30	0.858	xx	0.858
l. Dean of Students Office	(1991) 3.35	3.19	3.11	3.24	-1.433	0.250	1.365*
j. Wellness Center	(2000) 2.97	xx	2.97	3.21	1.726*	xx	1.726*
b. Campus Police	(1991) 2.61	2.78	2.96	3.01	5.257*	2.651*	0.670
k. Student Activities	(1991) 2.72	2.86	2.71	2.86	1.662	0.288	1.553
o. Greek Affairs (Labeled "Fraternities and Sororities" through 2000)	(1991) 2.55	2.54	2.30	2.56	0.424	0.121	2.745
n. Designated Student Organizations (e.g., BACCHUS, GAMMA, SADD)	(1994) 2.67	2.67	2.64	2.53	-1.107	-1.576	-1.011*
p. Campus Athletics	(2003) 2.50	xx	xx	2.50	xx	xx	xx
c. Campus President	(1994) 2.15	2.15	2.56	2.41	0.287	2.774*	-1.591
m. Student Government Associations	(1991) 2.10	1.95	2.11	2.17	0.584	6.107*	0.705*
a. Faculty Members (Labeled "Academic Departments" through 2000)	(1991) 1.79	1.88	1.90	2.08	3.753*	2.536*	2.657*
d. Board of Trustees	(2000) 1.98	xx	1.98	1.75	-2.562*	xx	-2.562*
30. Does your campus have a task force to address drug- and alcohol-related concerns?	(2000) 65	xx	65	69	0.94	xx	0.94
31. Does your campus have a task force or partnership with the surrounding community to address drug- and alcohol-related concerns?	(1994) 59	59	46	55	-0.95	-0.95	1.69*

	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
32. Does your campus have an individual designated to serve as its Alcohol/Substance Abuse Education Coordinator or Specialist?	1979 (14)	74	75	74	11.44*	0.06	-0.13
If "yes" to #32, what is the total FTE (Full-Time Equivalent) in each of the following categories for this position? _____ Full-time professional _____ Graduate Student (e.g., 1 graduate student @ 20 hours/week = .50 FTE)	1979 (0.89 FTE xxxx (0.39 FTE)	1.05 FTE 0.94 FTE	1.08 FTE 0.92 FTE	1.09 FTE 1.58 FTE			
33. If "yes" to #32, what percentage of the coordinator/specialist's time is spent on: Alcohol Issues = Other Drug Issues = (2000 wording was "Alcohol/Substance Issues") Tobacco Issues = Wellness Issues = (2000 wording was "Other Wellness Issues")		<i>61.09</i> <i>62.40</i> <i>31.89</i>	<i>61.09</i> <i>61.09</i> <i>37.20</i>	56.2 15.1 7.9 16.5			
	Mean Score				Comparison T Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
34. If yes to Question 32, please rate the importance of each of the following functions being performed by the coordinator of your campus. (1=Not at all important; 5=Very important)							
b. direct prevention programming	(1991) 4.46	4.41	4.35	4.29	-1.592	-1.240	-0.598
d. educational programming for judicially sanctioned students	(1991) 3.82	3.99	3.96	3.98	1.292	-0.378	0.127
a. peer leader training	(1991) 4.00	4.24	3.99	3.71	-1.939	-2.446*	-1.907
l. coordination of campus activity	(1991) 4.29	4.03	3.89	3.68	-3.942*	-1.520	-1.601*
g. initial assessment/ counseling referral	(1991) 3.87	3.93	3.34	3.62	-1.499	-2.862*	1.635
o. community liaison	(1991) 3.48	3.36	3.24	3.57	1.082	1.043	2.245*
q. research/evaluation	(1994) 3.01	3.01	3.34	3.55	3.835*	2.685*	1.470*
e. administration of resource center	(1991) 3.67	3.67	3.19	3.38	-2.845*	-0.862	1.305
f. direct counseling	(1991) 3.56	3.67	3.10	3.37	-0.930	-2.612*	1.594
k. staff training	(1991) 3.23	3.16	3.20	3.30	0.322	1.868	0.729
m. guest lectures in academic classes	(1991) 3.07	3.33	3.24	3.15	0.859	-0.585	-0.575
r. grant writing	(1994) 2.94	2.94	2.79	2.97	0.058	0.050	1.199
i. responding to media inquiries	(2003) 2.90	xx	xx	2.92	xx	xx	xx
p. development of web page	(1997) 2.40	xx	2.80	2.90	6.573*	xx	0.661*
c. policy development	(1991) 3.39	3.50	3.54	3.68	1.839	0.810	1.152
h. coordination of support groups (e.g. AA, COA) Prior wording was "Involvement with support groups"	(1991) 3.48	3.30	2.83	2.60	-10.536*	-3.902*	-0.927
j. faculty training	(1991) 2.71	2.59	2.61	2.46	-1.652*	-0.060	-1.131
n. informational e-mails to faculty	(1997) 2.07	xx	2.33	2.35	2.126*	xx	0.206

	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
35. Does your campus utilize peers whose primary focus is in the area of alcohol/other drug abuse? If yes, in what roles do these peers serve? <i>(check all that apply)</i>	(1988) 31	66	61	65	7.01*	-0.16	0.99
a. Conduct educational workshops (Wording was "Direct prevention programming" through 2000)	(1994) 93	93	90	93	0.02	0.02	0.85
b. Health awareness promotion	(2003) 88	xx	xx	88	xx	xx	xx
c. Guest lectures in academic classes	(1994) 56	56	47	42	-2.34	-2.34	-0.80
d. Plan/host alcohol-free events	(2003) 67	xx	xx	67	xx	xx	xx
e. Policy review/task force member (Wording was "Policy review" through 2000)	(1997) 22	xx	28	40	3.26*	xx	2.09*
f. Initial intervention/referral to counseling	(2003) 20	xx	xx	20	xx	xx	xx
g. Other	(2003) 11	xx	xx	11	xx	xx	xx
36. In the last three years, has your campus made an attempt to acquire external funding (e.g. federal, state, local, or private grants) for its:							
a. Alcohol/substance abuse efforts	(1988) 64	85	61	78	3.17*	-2.14*	3.69*
b. Violence prevention efforts	(1997) 31	xx	28	47	3.41*	xx	3.75*
c. Tobacco reduction efforts	(2000) 16	xx	16	42	5.56*	xx	5.56*
d. Overall wellness efforts	(1997) 36	xx	35	37	0.28	xx	0.45
37. In the last three years, has your campus been successful in acquiring external funding (e.g. federal, state, local, private grants) for its:							
a. Alcohol/substance abuse efforts?(mean funding for 2003 = \$93,896)(median = \$25,000)	(1991) 58	72	53	70	2.53*	-0.57	3.48*
b. Violence prevention efforts (mean funding for 2003 = \$172,397) (median = \$112,500)	(1997) 20	xx	19	31	2.62*	xx	2.52*
c. Tobacco reduction efforts (mean funding for 2003 = \$33,963) (median = \$10,000)	(2000) 14	xx	13	37	4.90*	xx	4.90*
d. Overall wellness efforts (mean funding for 2003 \$30,181) (median = \$10,000)	(1997) 19	xx	25	16	0.50	xx	-1.86*
		Mean Score				Comparison T Scores	
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
38. To what extent does your campus' alcohol and/or other substance abuse education and prevention effort pay special attention to the unique needs of each of the following groups: <i>(1=None; 2=A little; 3=Some; 4=A lot)</i>							
a. First-year Students	(1994) 3.3	3.3	3.6	3.6	4.564*	4.655*	0.427
b. Fraternity/Sorority Members	(1994) 3.0	3.0	3.2	3.2	2.036*	1.544	0.364
c. Student-Athletes	(1994) 2.9	2.9	3.1	3.0	1.581	1.681	-0.975
d. Women	(1994) 2.4	2.4	2.5	2.5	1.558	1.866	0.323
e. People of Color	(1994) 2.2	2.2	2.1	2.2	0.054	0.224	1.378
f. Gays/Lesbians/Bisexuals	(1994) 1.9	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.409	1.393	1.658
g. Persons with Disabilities	(1997) 1.7	xx	1.8	1.9	2.822*	xx	0.943
h. Commuter Students	(2000) 1.9	xx	1.9	2.0	0.628	xx	0.628

	Mean Score				Comparison T Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
39. To what extent are each of the following themes incorporated in your overall campus programming? (1 = Not at all important; 5=Very important)							
a. Alternative (alcohol-free) activities	(1991) 3.75	4.01	3.97	4.12	2.996*	1.123	1.609
n. Sexual activity	(1991) 3.85	3.96	3.93	3.89	0.000	-0.199	-0.411
o. HIV/STD prevention	(1994) 3.92 / 3.83	3.92 / 3.83	3.92 / 3.90	3.68	-2.029 / -1.261	-2.029 / -1.261	-2.243 / -2.114
h. Stress management	(1991) 3.57	3.59	3.79	3.70	0.608	1.106	-1.028
q. Academic success skills	(2003) 3.66	xx	xx	3.66	xx	xx	Xx
r. Community service	(2003) 3.58	xx	xx	3.58	xx	xx	xx
s. Community responsibility	(2003) 3.58	xx	xx	3.58	xx	xx	xx
f. Diversity sensitivity	(2003) 3.48	xx	xx	3.48	xx	xx	xx
k. Violence reduction	(1994) 2.97	2.97	3.30	3.38	3.817*	4.123*	0.814
d. Civility	(2003) 3.30	xx	xx	3.30	xx	xx	xx
p. Career planning	(2003) 3.29	xx	xx	3.29	xx	xx	xx
g. Depression	(2003) 3.25	xx	xx	3.25	xx	xx	xx
i. Conflict management	(1991) 3.36	3.11	3.18	3.17	-1.801	0.750	-0.615
l. Effective communication	(1991) 3.48	3.85	3.74	3.17	-3.536*	-6.916*	-5.380*
e. Values clarification (Wording was "personal values" through 2000)	(1991) 3.60	3.82	3.71	3.16	-4.647*	-6.102*	-5.301*
m. Effective relationships	(1991) 3.51	3.81	3.72	3.15	-0.498	-3.128*	-2.434*
c. Nutrition	(1991) 3.14	3.16	3.29	3.12	-0.961	-0.356	-17.015*
b. Self-esteem	(1991) 3.58	3.61	xx	3.08	-5.488*	-5.257*	-2.066*
u. Tobacco cessation (Wording was "tobacco use" through 2000)	(1997) 2.77	xx	2.79	2.95	1.563	xx	1.326
j. Assertiveness training	(1991) 3.16	3.09	xx	2.77	-4.456*	-2.672*	-2.358*
t. Co-dependency	(1994) 2.95	2.95	xx	2.39	5.018*	5.018*	xx

TREATMENT AND REFERRAL SERVICES	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Mean Score	Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
40. To what degree can a student with a drinking problem receive counseling assistance at each of the following? (1=None; 4=A Lot) (Wording was "Where can a student ... assistance" through 2000)								
a. Counseling Center	(1979) 97	99	100	97	3.67	0.18	-5.56*	-2.49*
b. Health Center	(1982) 99	99	100	96	3.14	-3.18*	-9.48*	-3.49*
c. Alcohol/Substance Abuse Education Coordinator	(1982) 100	99	100	73	3.19	-4.47*	-6.93*	-6.30*
d. Residence Hall Staff	(1982) 100	99	100	91	2.82	-3.75*	-4.14*	-3.41*
e. Campus Ministry	(1979) 77	99	100	87	2.82	2.56*	4.59*	-4.28*
f. Off-campus Counseling/Treatment Agency	(1982) 99	99	100	92	3.39	-3.33*	-8.58*	-3.74*
	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores			
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003	
41. Does any agency on your campus offer a group counseling experience specifically for students who are problem drinkers?	(1979) 33	59	50	54	4.21*	-0.90	0.89	
42. Does any agency on your campus offer a support group specifically for those students whose lives are being negatively affected by an alcoholic?	(1979) 21	68	47	44	4.70*	-5.01*	-0.49	
43. Does any agency on your campus offer a support group specifically for those students who wish to stop smoking?	(2000) 33	xx	33	46	2.75	xx	2.75*	
44. Does your campus have an Employee Assistance Program for employees with a drinking problem?	(1982) 28	76	78	83	11.4*	2.01*	1.16	
45. How is a faculty member who is believed to have a drinking problem typically dealt with on your campus?								
a. No action taken	(1994) 23	23	12	32	2.05*	2.02*	4.62*	
b. Forced referral (e.g., treatment, EAP)	(1994) 37	37	28	54	3.42*	3.41*	5.21*	
c. Personnel action (e.g., probation, suspension, termination)	(1994) 18	18	27	57	8.22*	8.15*	6.12*	
46. Does the paraprofessional staff on your campus receive training to deal with students having a drinking problem?	(1979) 62	72	80	76	2.79*	0.99	-0.90	
47. Does the paraprofessional staff on your campus receive training in mediation skills?	(2000) 71	xx	71	67	-0.77	xx	-0.77	
48. Are any of the paraprofessional staff on your campus (e.g., Resident Advisors, Orientation Leaders, Peer Educators) required to take skill development (training) course for academic credit (e.g., "Essentials of the Helping Relationship")?	(1997) 34	xx	29	28	-1.32	xx	-0.14	

49. What occurs in your campus judicial process when it is determined that alcohol is involved with a behavioral infraction? <i>(check all that apply)</i>							
a. Alcohol's involvement is ignored	(1985) 19	2	2	3	-5.10*	0.56	0.56
b. The sanction used is more stringent than if alcohol had not been involved	(1985) 31	30	36	44	2.61*	2.92*	1.56
c. A monetary fine is imposed.	(2000) 30	xx	30	37	1.44	xx	1.44
d. The student's parents are notified.	(2003) 59	xx	xx	59	xx	xx	xx
e. The sanction includes participation in an alcohol education group.	(1985) 38	62	54	77	8.09*	3.62*	5.05*
f. The student is required to have an individual motivational interview.	(2003) 47	xx	xx	47	xx	xx	xx
g. The student is required to be involved in a web-based/CD-ROM alcohol education activity.	(2003) 44	xx	xx	44	xx	xx	xx
h. The student has community service involving alcohol prevention efforts.	(2000) 43	xx	43	39	-0.84	xx	-0.84
i. Repeat offenders are referred for more in-depth assessment	(2003) 84	xx	xx	84	xx	xx	xx
j. Other	(2003) 24	xx	xx	24	xx	xx	xx

RELATED CAMPUS ISSUES	Percentage of Affirmative Responses				Comparison Z Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
50. Whether by law or policy, please indicate where your campus has a smoke-free environment: <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. All Residence Halls	(1994) 27	27	40	63	7.45*	7.45*	4.52*
b. Selected Residence Halls	(1994) 33	33	35	26	-1.62	-1.62	-2.01*
c. Selected Residence Hall Floors	(1997) 38	xx	33	23	-3.34*	xx	-2.16*
d. All Public Areas	(1994) 67	67	67	67	0.10	0.11	0.04
e. Dining Areas	(1994) 76	76	85	90	4.33*	4.33*	1.72*
f. Academic Buildings	(1994) 77	77	84	89	3.60*	3.60*	1.41
g. Indoor athletic facilities	(2003) 94	xx	xx	94	xx	xx	xx
h. Outdoor athletic facilities	(2003) 35	xx	xx	35	xx	xx	xx
i. Outdoor areas at building entrances	(2000) 17	xx	17	23	1.48	xx	1.48
j. Other	(1994) 22	22	5	4	-5.53	-5.53	-0.46
51. Where on campus are tobacco products sold? <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. Residence Halls	(1994) 7	7	1	1	-3.03*	-3.03*	0.34
b. Student Union	(1994) 21	21	16	17	-1.05	-1.05	0.23
c. Nowhere	(1994) 52	52	57	60	1.79*	1.79*	0.72
d. Bookstore	(1994) 18	18	22	12	1.84*	1.84*	-2.84*
e. Vendors adjacent to campus (e.g., coffee trucks) (Wording was "Campus street vendors" in 2000)	(2000) 3	xx	3	14	3.78*	xx	3.78*
f. Other	(2003) 8	xx	xx	8	xx	xx	xx
52. In which of the following ways are tobacco products permitted to be advertised on your campus? <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. Campus newspapers	(1997) 40	xx	40	34	-1.50	xx	-1.27
b. Campus radio	(1997) 21	xx	19	18	-0.70	xx	-0.09
c. Bulletin boards	(1997) 19	xx	15	13	-1.91*	xx	-0.83
d. Public arenas (e.g., sports facility, stadium, coliseum)	(1997) 14	xx	9	8	-2.02*	xx	-0.30
e. Promotion items (e.g., T-shirts, mugs)	(1997) 18	xx	11	11	-2.18*	xx	-0.19
f. Event sponsorship (e.g., charity, athletics, arts)	(1997) 14	xx	9	10	-1.32	xx	0.38
53. In which locations are condoms accessible (for purchase or free) on your campus? <i>(Please check all that apply)</i>							
a. Residence Halls	(1994) 47	47	42	40	-1.49	-1.49	-0.32
b. Bookstore	(1994) 29	29	28	26	-0.84	-0.84	-0.49
c. Wellness Center	(2000) 25	xx	25	41	3.39*	xx	3.39*
d. Other	(2003) 17	xx	14	17	xx	xx	xx
e. Health Center	(1994) 80	80	78	86	-1.75*	-1.75*	1.89*
f. Student Union	(1994) 26	26	26	23	-0.53	-0.53	-0.56
g. Nowhere	(1994) 10	10	9	10	0.05	0.05	0.52
54. Are condoms readily available free somewhere on your campus?	(1997) 68	xx	73	78	2.28*	4.82*	1.06

YOUR OPINION							
55. What minimum drinking age do you prefer?	18	19	20	21 or higher or higher over			
Mean Percentage (1997 Results)	27%	6%	3%	64%			
Mean Percentage (2000 Results)	28%	8%	3%	61%			
Mean Percentage (2003 Results)	27%	7%	3%	64%			
	Percentage of Affirmative Responses		Mean Score		Comparison T- Scores		
	Baseline	1994	2000	2003	Base Year and 2003	1994-2003	2000-2003
56. Please rate the accuracy of each of the following descriptions relative to your campus: <i>(Scale: 1= strongly disagree; 5=strongly agree)</i>							
a. Most student alcohol abuse occurs in off-campus uncontrolled settings (i.e, private parties).	(2000) 3.57	xx	3.57	3.7	1.123	xx	1.123
b. Our campus alcohol abuse problems are minimal.	(2000) 2.44	xx	2.44	2.25	-1.303	xx	-1.303
c. Adequate funding is being spent on our campus on alcohol abuse prevention.	(2000) 2.32	xx	2.32	2.31	-0.255	xx	-0.255
d. Our campus has a comprehensive approach to alcohol abuse prevention.	(2000) 3.13	xx	3.13	3.21	0.300	xx	0.300
e. Our campus has clearly defined goals and objectives for alcohol abuse prevention.	(2000) 3.28	xx	3.28	3.25	-0.385	xx	-0.385
f. Our campus has consensus between students and administrators on the direction of our alcohol abuse and prevention efforts.	(2000) 2.68	xx	2.68	2.69	0.248	xx	0.248
g. Our campus has formally identified the principles/philosophical underpinnings of its alcohol abuse prevention efforts.	(2003) 3.26	xx	xx	3.26	xx	xx	xx
h. Our campus utilizes the most effective alcohol abuse prevention strategies (based on professional literature, conference workshops, training, etc.).	(2003) 3.57	xx	xx	3.57	xx	xx	xx
i. Our campus remains committed to finding and applying effective alcohol abuse prevention strategies.	(2003) 4.07	xx	xx	4.07	xx	xx	xx
j. Our alcohol abuse prevention efforts have been institutionalized.	(2003) 3.20	xx	xx	3.20	xx	xx	xx
57. To what extent do the following prevention approaches guide your campus efforts to reduce alcohol abuse? <i>(Scale: 1 = not at all; 5= very much)</i>							
a. Harm Reduction	(2000) 4.25	xx	4.25	4.21	-0.109	xx	-0.109
b. Abstinence	(2000) 2.30	xx	2.30	2.18	-1.141	xx	-1.141
c. Problem Reduction	(2000) 3.87	xx	3.87	4.05	1.908	xx	1.908
d. Establishing Healthy Norms	(2000) 4.20	xx	4.20	4.20	0.064	xx	0.064
e. Social Norms Marketing	(2003) 3.87	xx	xx	3.87	xx	xx	xx
f. Healthy Life Choices	(2000) 4.24	xx	4.24	4.23	-0.131	xx	-0.131
g. Responsible Drinking	(2000) 3.87	xx	3.87	3.88	0.083	xx	0.083
h. Responsible Decision-Making	(2000) 4.30	xx	4.30	4.26	-0.474	xx	-0.474
i. Lifelong skills	(2000) 3.79	xx	3.79	3.63	-1.542	xx	-1.542
j. Values Development	(2000) 3.51	xx	3.51	3.27	-2.016	xx	-2.016
k. Alcohol-Free Activities	(2003) 3.84	xx	xx	3.84	xx	xx	xx
l. Other	(2003) 4.50	xx	xx	4.50	xx	xx	xx

YOUR OPINION		
	Effectiveness Rating	Adequacy of Resources
58. Please describe the <i>effectiveness</i> of the following efforts on your campus to reduce the extent of alcohol abuse. (1 = Not effective to 5 = Very effective) Please also assess the <i>adequacy of resources</i> (funding and/or personnel) currently available for each of these efforts. (1 = Not at all adequate to 4 = Very adequate)	1-5 Scale	1-4 Scale
Alcohol education and prevention programs	3.31	2.60
Alcohol-free social events	3.28	2.61
Technological approaches (Web-based or CD-ROMs)	2.31	2.58
Social norms marketing	2.81	2.71
Use of peer educators	2.74	2.59
Infusion of prevention education into course curriculum	1.72	2.00
Campus policies and procedures	3.59	2.98
State and federal laws	3.28	2.87
Sanctions for alcohol infractions	3.61	3.00
Campus staff enforcement of policies	3.59	2.78
Campus police/security enforcement	3.61	2.84
Local police enforcement	3.18	2.57
Individualized motivational interviewing	2.79	2.62
Accessibility of on-campus treatment	2.79	2.50
In-depth assessment of repeat offenders	3.17	2.61
Treatment and referral resources	3.60	2.71
Campus task force	2.46	2.53
Joint campus/community efforts	2.36	2.44
Collaboration between student services and faculty	2.07	2.14
Faculty training	1.30	1.70
Staff training	2.64	2.29
Efforts targeted for Greeks	2.43	2.33
Efforts targeted for first-year students	3.43	2.65
Efforts targeted for athletes	2.97	2.53